

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
	§	
vs.	§	NO: WA:18-CR-00302(1)-ADA
	§	
(1) JAMES LUTHER GODFREY III	§	

ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Before the court is the above styled and numbered cause. On April 20, 2023 the United States Probation Office filed a Petition For Warrant or Summons For Offender Under Supervision for Defendant (1) JAMES LUTHER GODFREY III, which alleged that Godfrey violated a condition of his supervised release and recommended that Godfrey 's supervised release be revoked (Clerk's Document No. 63). A warrant issued and Godfrey was arrested. On April 26, 2023, Godfrey appeared before a United States Magistrate Judge, was ordered detained, and a revocation of supervised release hearing was set.

Godfrey appeared before the magistrate judge on May 11, 2023, waived his right to a preliminary hearing and to be present before the United States District Judge at the time of modification of sentence, and consented to allocution before the magistrate judge. Following the hearing, the magistrate judge signed his report and recommendation on May 11, 2023, which provides that having carefully considered all of the arguments and evidence presented by the Government and Defendant, based on the original offense and

the intervening conduct of Godfrey, the magistrate judge recommends that this court revoke Godfrey supervised release and that Godfrey be sentenced to imprisonment for Six (6) months, with no term supervised release to follow the term of imprisonment (Clerk's Document No. 72). The Defendant should receive eighteen days of credit for time served.

A party may serve and file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation, and thereby secure a *de novo* review by the district court. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). A party's failure to timely file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation in a report and recommendation bars that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district court. *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en bane*). The parties in this cause were properly notified of the consequences of a failure to file objections.


On May 11, 2023, following the hearing on the motion to revoke supervised release, all parties signed a Waiver Of Fourteen Day Rule For Filing Objections To Report and Recommendation Of United States Magistrate Judge (Clerk's Document No. 71). The court, having reviewed the entire record and finding no plain error, accepts and adopts the report and recommendation filed in this cause.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge filed in this cause (Clerk's Document No. 72) is hereby ACCEPTED AND ADOPTED by this court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant (1) JAMES LUTHER GODFREY III's term of supervised release is hereby REVOKED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant (1) JAMES LUTHER GODFREY III be imprisoned for Six (6) months with no term of supervised release. The Defendant should receive eighteen days of credit for time served.

Signed this 31st day of May, 2023.


ALAN D ALBRIGHT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE